

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/973,822

Applicant(s)

MORIMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel

Art Unit

2827

All Participants:(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.(2) Ellen Marcie Emas (Reg. 32,131).**Status of Application:** Being allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2003**Time:** -**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Tanaka, US Patent No. 5,889,326, Hiruta, US Patent No. 5,998,861, Ohuchi et al., US Patent 6,130,480, Eichelberger et al., US Patent No. 6,426,545

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Called the applicant to amend claim 7 to more clearly define the invention and further to add the limitations of claim 8 into claim 7 in order to overcome the combination of prior art.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)